



DEED OF RECORD (*proces-verbaal*)

On the twenty-fifth day of June two thousand and twenty-four as of two hours post meridian, I, Lucien Rikkinus Lambertus Spijkervet, deputy civil law notary, deputising for Dirk-Jan—— Jeroen Smit, civil law notary, officiating in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, attended the annual general meeting of shareholders of **Digi Communications N.V.**, a public company with—— limited liability (*naamloze vennootschap*) incorporated under the laws of the Netherlands,—— having its official seat in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, its corporate office address at 75 Dr. - Nicolae Staicovici, Forum 2000 Building, fourth floor, fifth District, Bucharest, Romania, and registered with the trade register of the Dutch Chamber of Commerce under number—— 34132532 (the **Company** and **Digi**), held at the offices of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer—— LLP, Strawinskylaan 10, 1077 XZ Amsterdam, the Netherlands (the **Meeting**), with the—— purpose of taking notarial minutes of the Meeting.——

I, Lucien Rikkinus Lambertus Spijkervet, deputy civil law notary, deputising for Dirk-Jan—— Jeroen Smit, civil law notary aforementioned, have recorded the following:——





1. Opening.

Mr. Serghei Bulgac, chief executive officer of the Company, as chairman of this Meeting (the **Chairman**), on behalf of the board of directors of the Company (the **Board of Directors**), opened the Meeting at two hours post meridian and welcomed all present. The Chairman noted that the Company had decided to organize the meeting in a hybrid form, having the chief executive officer of the Company acting as chairman of the meeting and the Company's secretary acting as the secretary of the meeting be present at the place of the meeting, while certain other members of the board of directors and the rest of the participants were able to participate via conference to the meeting.

The **Chairman** noted that at the Amsterdam' offices of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP (the place established for the meeting) besides the Chairman were present in person:

- Eliza Popa, secretary of the Company, who was formally appointed secretary for this Meeting by the **Chairman** and had also been empowered by class A shareholders and class B shareholders to cast the votes at the meeting;
- Bert Spijkervet of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP, Amsterdam office; and
- David Hart of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP, Amsterdam office.

The **Chairman** further noted that via videoconference were present:

- Mr. Valentin Popoviciu, Mr. Marius Varzaru, Mr. Bogdan Ciobotaru, Mr. Emil Jugaru, Mr. Zoltan Teszari and Mr. Piotr Rymaszewski as members of the Board of Directors;
- Mr. Jose Manuel Arnaiz de Castro as candidate to be appointed as non-executive director of the Board of Directors;
- the external auditors of KPMG Accountants N.V. who were available to answer any questions relating to his report on the fairness of the financial statements tabled under agenda item 2(c);
- Dan Ionita, Co-Chief Financial Officer of the Company;
- Madalina Elena Lungu, legal advisor of the Company; and
- Andra Gunescu, lawyer of the Company.

Notarial minutes would be made of the Meeting.

The **Chairman** further noted that the Meeting would be held in English and that the convocation for the Meeting had been published on the Company's website on the thirteenth day of May two thousand and twenty-four and the Meeting had been convened in accordance with the legal and statutory requirements.

At the record date of the Meeting, the twenty-eighth day of May two thousand and twenty-four, the Company had a total issued share capital of six million eight hundred ten thousand and forty-two euros and fifty-two eurocents (EUR 6,810,042.52), consisting of sixty-four million five hundred fifty-six thousand and twenty-eight (64,556,028) Class A shares, each share having a nominal value of ten eurocents (EUR 0.10) and consisting of thirty-five million four hundred forty-three thousand





nine hundred and seventy-two (35,443,972) Class B shares, each share having a nominal value of one eurocent (EUR 0.01).

At the record date for the Meeting, the Company held four million four hundred nine thousand three hundred sixty-one (4,409,361) Class A shares, each share having a nominal value of ten eurocents (EUR 0.10) and three hundred forty-three thousand twenty-six (343,026) Class B shares, each share having a nominal value of one eurocent (EUR 0.01) in its own share capital. According to Dutch law and the articles of association of the Company, the shares held in treasury by the Company will not be taken into account in relation to the quorum of the meeting and the Company cannot cast votes on these treasury shares.

According to the attendance list sixty million one hundred forty-six thousand six hundred sixty-seven (60,146,667) Class A shares and eighteen million six hundred forty-eight thousand ninety-three (18,648,093) Class B shares, constituting eighty-two point seventy-two per cent. (82.72%) of the issued and outstanding shares in the capital of the Company excluding the shares held in treasury by the Company were represented at the Meeting. The total number of voting rights at the Meeting amounts to six hundred twenty million one hundred fourteen thousand seven hundred sixty-three (620,114,763). The secretary for the Meeting, Eliza Popa, had been granted with proxies and voting instructions representing six hundred twenty million one hundred fourteen thousand seven hundred sixty-three (620,114,763) votes.

Voting would take place orally and Eliza Popa as secretary of the Meeting would announce the votes against and the abstentions regarding the items on the agenda. The **Chairman** further noted that agenda items would be discussed in accordance with the order of the agenda of the meeting. Agenda sub-items would be discussed in sequence. If in relation to agenda items questions would arise which could not immediately be answered by him or any other director, the **Chairman** mentioned that he may had to park such question until he had closed the discussion on that agenda item.

The **Chairman** turned to item 2 of the agenda, noting that the annual report two thousand and twenty-three had been made available on the website of the Company and at the Company's office as from the second day of May two thousand twenty-four.

The **Chairman** continued and mentioned that the first sub-item 2(a) concerned the board report two thousand and twenty-three, which agenda sub-item would be a discussion item only and would not be voted. For this agenda item, the **Chairman** as the chief executive officer of the Company, would give a short presentation on the main activities and results of the Company during two thousand and twenty-three.

The **Chairman** started by addressing the shareholders, consumers, colleagues and partners of the Company. He continued by saying that he was thankful for the chance to present the two thousand and twenty-three annual report, which highlighted a year of sustained growth at Digi. He pointed out that their trust, support and dedication had been





crucial to the Company's success. The **Chairman** further said that the year two thousand and twenty-three marked significant achievements in Spain, continued expansion in Romania, and preparations for upcoming launches in Portugal and Belgium. He then said that the Company had extended its fiber networks in Spain to cover over eight point seven (8.7) million homes. In Romania, the Company had maintained a rapid pace in the rollout of 5G and also introduced 5G services in Spain. The Company's revenues and other income increased by thirteen per cent. (13%) to one point seven billion euros (EUR 1,700,000,000), while RGUs (*Revenues Generating Units*) in Romania and Spain grew by fifteen per cent. (15%) reaching twenty-three point eight million units (23,800,000) and adjusted EBITDA (*Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization*) rose to five hundred ninety-two million euros (EUR 592,000,000). The **Chairman** noted that the telecommunications sector is fiercely competitive. The Company's accomplishments would not have been possible without relentless efforts to grow and improve Digi's network capabilities. The Company's capital expenditures were seven hundred thirty million euros (EUR 730,000,000). By the end of two thousand and twenty-three, the Company had been operating a fiber network that reached over nine point one million (9,100,000) homes in Romania, and Digi serviced more than five point seven million (5,700,000) TV (*television*) users, four point six million (4,600,000) broadband (fixed internet and data) users, five point eight million (5,800,000) mobile users and eight hundred ninety thousand (890,000) fixed-line telephony users. He then said that in Spain mobile users numbered four point seven million (4,700,000), broadband (fixed internet) users totaled one point four million (1,400,000), a sixty-three per cent. (63%) increase from the previous year, and fixed-line telephony users reached four hundred forty-five thousand (445,000). In Italy, mobile customers numbered four hundred twenty thousand (420,000) at the end of two thousand and twenty-three. The **Chairman** continued by saying that when looking ahead, Digi was committed to delivering superior services utilizing the latest technology. The Company's priority is to tailor these services to fit the Company's customers' needs, ensuring they are affordable and accessible. The **Chairman** continued by extending his deepest thanks to every employee who had played a part in Digi's journey. He further extended the Company's gratefulness to the Company's customers for making Digi their provider of choice. He thanked the Company's clients, investors, and partners for their continued trust which had driven the Company's enthusiasm and commitment to ongoing growth. The **Chairman** further pointed out that the continued support is crucial as they strive to shape to the Company's future together.

Thanking all participants of the Meeting, the **Chairman** concluded his presentation. The **Chairman** continued the Meeting by turning to sub-item 2(b) of the agenda, concerning the dividend and reservation policy that was described in the Company's annual report for two thousand and twenty-three (the **Annual Report 2023**). This was a discussion item only





and, therefore, no voting would take place. Reference was made to the section on “Dividend Policy” of the Annual Report 2023 for further details on the dividend and reservations policy. Turning to next sub-item 2(c), dealing with the adoption of the annual accounts of the Company for the financial year two thousand and twenty-three (the **Annual Accounts 2023**), the **Chairman** said that the Annual Accounts 2023, had been drawn up by the Board of Directors and audited by KPMG Accountants N.V., who had issued a qualified opinion. In absence of any questions, the **Chairman** said that the Board of Directors proposed to adopt the Annual Accounts 2023 and asked the Meeting to vote. He established that the resolution had been adopted and informed the Meeting that the details of the voting results would be made available through the Company’s corporate website in the days after the Meeting. The **Chairman** then turned to sub-item 2(d) concerning the proposal to distribute a gross dividend in cash of one point twenty-five Romanian Leu (RON 1.25) per outstanding share, both class A shares and class B shares equally, which at the date of the convocation totaled an amount of one hundred nineteen million, fifty-nine thousand, five hundred sixteen Romanian Leu and twenty-five bani (RON 119,059,516.25). The Board of Directors noted that the dividend is proposed to be made not in Euro but in Romanian leu. The total amount of ninety-five million one hundred nineteen million, fifty-nine thousand, five hundred sixteen Romanian Leu and twenty-five bani (RON 119,059,516.25) is equivalent to approximately twenty-three million nine hundred twenty-eight thousand six hundred seventy-five euros (EUR 23,928,675) translated at the exchange rate reported by the National Bank of Romania applicable on the thirteenth day of May two thousand and twenty-four. The listed class B shares were quoted ex-dividend from the third day of July two thousand and twenty-four and the record date for the dividend was set for the fourth day of July two thousand and twenty-four. The **Chairman** announced that the dividend was expected to be paid on or around the twenty-second day of July two thousand and twenty-four.

Since there were no questions, the **Chairman** put sub-item 2(d) to the vote and established that the resolution was adopted with the majority of the votes. The details of the voting results would be made available through the Company’s corporate website in the days after the Meeting.

The **Chairman** then turned to the final sub-item and voting item under 2(e) concerning the release from liability of the members of the Board of Directors for their management during the financial year of two thousand and twenty-three. He noted that this was a voting item and explained that the Meeting was asked to release the executive members from liability for their management insofar as such management was apparent from the financial statements or otherwise disclosed to the Meeting prior to the adoption of the annual accounts, and the non-executive members from liability for their supervision insofar as such supervision is apparent from the financial statements or otherwise disclosed to the Meeting prior to the adoption of the annual accounts. The **Chairman** put sub-item 2(e) to the vote





and established that the proposal had been accepted with the majority of the votes and informed the Meeting that the details of the voting results would be made available through the Company's corporate website.

The **Chairman** moved to the next item on the agenda, sub-agenda item 3(a), concerning the Remuneration Report for two thousand and twenty-three, noting that this was an advisory, non-binding vote. The **Chairman** explained that during two thousand and twenty-three, the Company had continued to comply with the applicable remuneration policy and that no deviating rules or practices were proposed by the remuneration committee. He said that further details on the remuneration of the Company's directors were referred to in the "Remuneration of Directors" section of the stand-alone financial statements for the Company prepared in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union for the financial year ended the thirty-first day of December two thousand and twenty-three and the Company's Remuneration Report for two thousand and twenty-three (**Remuneration Report 2023**) as included in the Annual Report 2023. The **Chairman** noted that the Board of Directors proposed to the Meeting to give an advisory vote on the Remuneration Report 2023. With no questions from the Meeting, the **Chairman** asked for the votes and then noted that the majority advised positively in relation to the Remuneration Report 2023 and informed the Meeting that the details of the voting results would be made available through the Company's corporate website.

The **Chairman** then turned to the next sub-item and voting item 3(b) concerning the approval of the revised remuneration policy of the Board of Directors (the **Remuneration Policy**). The **Chairman** explained that the Company's remuneration committee had reviewed the revised Remuneration Policy adopted on the thirtieth day of April two thousand and twenty, and found that the main provisions of it are still applicable, and proposed to adopt a revised edition of Remuneration Policy, containing certain updates and alignments, such as updating the information regarding the Digi's operations and outlining that the executive directors shall be encouraged through variable remuneration to focus on the Company's long-term sustainable value creation. The **Chairman** pointed out that the Remuneration Policy is published on the Company's website. With no questions from the Meeting, the **Chairman** asked for the votes and then noted that the majority advised positively in relation to the Remuneration Policy and informed the Meeting that the details of the voting results would be made available through the Company's corporate website.

The **Chairman** moved to the next item on the agenda, item 4, dealing with the appointment of the statutory auditor which was a voting item. The **Chairman** explained that the audit committee and the other non-executive members of the Board of Directors reviewed and reflected on the activity of the statutory auditors in relation to auditing of the Company's consolidated and stand-alone financial statements for the year two thousand and twenty-three and, based on this analysis, recommended to the Board of Directors to appoint KPMG Accountants N.V. as the statutory auditor for the financial year ending the thirty-first day of





December two thousand and twenty-four. Having established that there were no questions, – the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this agenda item and noted that the proposal had been accepted. _____

The **Chairman** then turned to agenda item 5, which concerned the Company's corporate – governance structure and statement of compliance with the Dutch Corporate Governance – Code (**DCGC**). The **Chairman** informed the Meeting that this was a discussion item. He – pointed out that the main items of the Company's corporate governance structure and its – compliance with the DCGC during the year two thousand twenty-three were presented in – the Annual Report 2023, and in particular referred the Meeting to the Section "Management- – Structure", "Corporate Governance" and Annex three (3) of the Annual Report 2023. This – was a discussion item only and, therefore, no voting would take place. There being no – questions, the **Chairman** turned to agenda item 6, which concerned the designation of the – Board of Directors as the competent body to repurchase class B shares. The **Chairman** – informed the Meeting that this was a voting item. _____

The **Chairman** noted that in accordance with article 10 of the articles of association, it was – proposed to the Meeting to grant the Board of Directors the authority to acquire class B – shares in the share capital of the Company through purchases effected on the stock – exchange via trading on the regular market on which the class B shares are listed and/or – through other means (including public tender offers), for a period of eighteen (18) months – from the twenty-sixth day of June two thousand twenty-four up to and including the twenty- – fourth day of December two thousand and twenty-five, in compliance with the applicable – law, subject to the following conditions: _____

- the authority of the Board of Directors shall be limited to a maximum of three million – (3,000,000) class B shares; _____
- transactions effected on the stock exchange via trading on the regular market on which – the class B shares are listed will be subject to a maximum price per class B share equal – to the average of the highest price on each of the five (5) trading days prior to the date – of acquisition, as shown in the Official Price List of the Bucharest Stock Exchange plus – ten per cent. (10%) (maximum price) and to a minimum price per class B share equal to – the average of the lowest price on each of the five (5) trading days prior to the date of – acquisition, as shown in the Official Price List of Bucharest Stock Exchange minus ten – per cent. (10%) (minimum price); _____
- transactions effected through other means (including public tender offers) will be subject – to a maximum price per class B share of sixty-five Romanian Leu (RON 65) (maximum – price) and a minimum price of no less than thirty-five Romanian Leu (RON 35) – (minimum price). _____

The **Chairman** noted that if this delegation of authority would be granted, any buy-back of – shares will be conducted by way of a share buy-back program in line with applicable rules of – the European Union. The launch of such program and the determination of its terms and – _____





conditions is subject to a decision of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors intends to appoint an independent specialized trading / brokerage firm to execute any such buyback. The **Chairman** explained that any buy-back program may be suspended, discontinued or modified at any time for any reason and without previous notice in the Company's sole discretion in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. Neither the authorization requested, nor the subsequent launch of any share buy-back program obligates the Company to buy-back any class B shares. Then the **Chairman** asked the Meeting to vote and noted that the proposal regarding the designation of the Board of Directors as the competent body to repurchase own class B shares had been approved. The **Chairman** then moved to the next item on the agenda, agenda item 7 concerning the (re-)appointment of the members of the Board of Directors and was a voting item. The **Chairman** explained that considering that the mandate of board members of Digi would expire at the end of the day that the Meeting was held, by way of binding nomination, the meeting of holders of class A shares in the capital of the Company (the **Class A Meeting**), had proposed to (re-)appoint the members of the Board of Directors, with effect from the twenty-sixth day of June two thousand and twenty-four, as indicated in the following sub-agenda items.

The **Chairman** then moved to the first sub-agenda item 7(a), concerning the re-appointment of Mr. Serghei Bulgac as executive director of the Board of Directors and informed the Meeting that this was a voting item. The proposal for the re-election of Mr. Bulgac is for a term of four (4) years, ending at the end of the day of the annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company to be held in the year two thousand and twenty-eight. The proposed re-appointment was in accordance with the Company's articles of association. Mr. Bulgac would be remunerated in accordance with the Company's remuneration policy for executive members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website.

In making this binding nomination, the Class A Meeting had taken into consideration Mr. Bulgac's skills, knowledge and expertise built up during his career, in particular taken into account the positive results under his previous mandate during the previous term as executive director and chief executive officer of the Board of Directors. Having established that there were no questions, the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this sub-agenda item and noted that the proposal had been accepted and Mr. Bulgac had been re-appointed as executive director of the Board of Directors.

The **Chairman** then moved to sub-agenda item 7(b), concerning the re-appointment of Mr. Valentin Popoviciu as executive director of the Board of Directors and noted that this was a voting item. The proposal for the re-election of Mr. Popoviciu is for a term of four (4) years, ending at the end of the day of the annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company to be held in the year two thousand and twenty-eight. The proposed re-appointment was in accordance with the Company's articles of association. Mr. Popoviciu would be remunerated





in accordance with the Company's remuneration policy for executive members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website. _____

In making this binding nomination, the Class A Meeting had taken into consideration Mr. _____ Popoviciu's skills, knowledge and expertise built up during his career, in particular taken into account the positive results under his previous mandate during the previous term as _____ executive director of the Board of Directors. Having established that there were no _____ questions, the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this sub-agenda item and noted that the _____ proposal had been accepted and Mr. Popoviciu had been re-appointed as executive director of the Board of Directors. _____

The **Chairman** then turned to sub-agenda item 7(c), concerning the re-appointment of Mr. _____ Zoltan Teszari as non-executive director and president of the Board of Directors and noted _____ that this was a voting item. The proposal for the re-election of Mr. Teszari is for a term of _____ four (4) years, ending at the end of the day of the annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company to be held in the year two thousand and twenty-eight. The proposed re- _____ appointment was in accordance with the Company's articles of association and took into _____ account the pursued composition and profile of the Board of Directors as apparent from the _____ Company's profile for the non-executive members of the Board of Directors which was _____ available on the Company's website. Mr. Teszari would be remunerated in accordance with _____ the Company's remuneration policy for non-executive members of the Board of Directors _____ which was available on the Company's website. _____

Having established that there were no questions, the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this _____ sub-agenda item and noted that the proposal had been accepted and Mr. Teszari had been _____ re-appointed as non-executive director of the Board of Directors. _____

The **Chairman** then moved to sub-agenda item 7(d), concerning the re-appointment of Mr. _____ Marius Catalin Varzaru as non-executive director and vice-president of the Board of _____ Directors and noted that this was a voting item. The proposal for the re-election of Mr. _____ Varzaru is for a term of two (2) years, ending at the end of the day of the annual general _____ meeting of shareholders of the Company to be held in the year two thousand and twenty- _____ six. The proposed re-appointment was in accordance with the Company's articles of _____ association and took into account the pursued composition and profile of the Board of _____ Directors as apparent from the Company's profile for the non-executive members of the _____ Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website. Mr. Varzaru would be _____ remunerated in accordance with the Company's remuneration policy for non-executive _____ members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website. _____

In making this binding nomination, the Class A Meeting had taken into consideration Mr. _____ Varzaru's skills, knowledge and expertise built up during his career, in particular taken into _____ account the positive results under his previous mandate during the previous term as non- _____ executive director and vice-president of the Board of Directors. Having established that _____ there were no questions, the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this sub-agenda item and _____





noted that the proposal had been accepted and Mr. Varzaru had been re-appointed as non-executive director of the Board of Directors.

The **Chairman** then moved to sub-agenda item 7(e), concerning the re-appointment of Mr. Bogdan Ciobotaru as non-executive director of the Board of Directors and noted that this was a voting item. The proposal for the re-election of Mr. Ciobotaru is for a term of two (2) years, ending at the end of the day of the annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company to be held in the year two thousand and twenty-six. The proposed re-appointment was in accordance with the Company's articles of association and took into account the pursued composition and profile of the Board of Directors as apparent from the Company's profile for the non-executive members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website. Mr. Ciobotaru would be remunerated in accordance with the Company's remuneration policy for non-executive members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website.

In making this binding nomination, the Class A Meeting had taken into consideration Mr. Ciobotaru's skills, knowledge and expertise built up during his career, in particular taken into account the positive results under his previous mandate during the previous term as non-executive director of the Board of Directors. Having established that there were no questions, the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this sub-agenda item and noted that the proposal had been accepted and Mr. Ciobotaru had been re-appointed as non-executive director of the Board of Directors.

The **Chairman** then turned to sub-agenda item 7(f), concerning the re-appointment of Mr. Emil Jugaru as non-executive director of the Board of Directors and noted that this was a voting item. The proposal for the re-election of Mr. Jugaru is for a term of two (2) years, ending at the end of the day of the annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company to be held in the year two thousand and twenty-six. The proposed re-appointment was in accordance with the Company's articles of association and took into account the pursued composition and profile of the Board of Directors as apparent from the Company's profile for the non-executive members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website. Mr. Jugaru would be remunerated in accordance with the Company's remuneration policy for non-executive members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website.

In making this binding nomination, the Class A Meeting had taken into consideration Mr. Jugaru's skills, knowledge and expertise built up during his career, in particular taken into account the positive results under his previous mandate during the previous term as non-executive director of the Board of Directors. Having established that there were no questions, the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this sub-agenda item and noted that the proposal had been accepted and Mr. Jugaru had been re-appointed as non-executive director of the Board of Directors.





The **Chairman** then turned to the final sub-item and voting item 7(g), concerning the appointment of Mr. Jose Manuel Arnaiz de Castro as non-executive director of the Board of Directors. The proposal for the appointment of Mr. Jose Manuel Arnaiz de Castro is for a term of four (4) years, ending at the end of the day of the annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company to be held in the year two thousand and twenty-eight. The proposed appointment was in accordance with the Company's articles of association and took into account the pursued composition and profile of the Board of Directors as apparent from the Company's profile for the non-executive members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website. Mr. Jose Manuel Arnaiz de Castro would be remunerated in accordance with the Company's remuneration policy for non-executive members of the Board of Directors which was available on the Company's website. In making this binding nomination, the Class A Meeting had taken into consideration Mr Jose Manuel Arnaiz de Castro's skills, knowledge and expertise built up during his career, in particular taken into account his experience as non-executive director and supervisory board member of various companies. Having established that there were no questions, the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this sub-agenda item and noted that the proposal had been accepted and Mr. Jose Manuel Arnaiz de Castro had been appointed as non-executive director of the Board of Directors.

The **Chairman** then turned to agenda item 8, concerning the authorization of the Board of Directors to decide upon the award of stock options to executive directors of the Company and was a voting item.

The **Chairman** explained that in accordance with article 15, paragraph 11 of the articles of association of the Company, the Class A Meeting had proposed to award stock options to acquire class B shares in the capital of the Company to executive directors subject to the criteria of the Company's share option plan and as provided in the annexes to the agenda and explanatory notes for the Meeting. The **Chairman** pointed out that the details could be found on the Company's corporate website.

He said that it is proposed that the Board of Directors may decide, at its discretion, whether to allocate currently held class B shares in its own share capital in treasury, to convert currently held class A shares in its own share capital in treasury into class B shares (in accordance with article 5 from the articles of association of the Company) or to buy-back shares from the market under the authority proposed to be granted to the Board of Directors under agenda item 6 above, such in accordance with the articles of association of the Company. Having established that there were no questions, the **Chairman** turned to the vote on this agenda item and noted that the proposal had been approved.

After this vote, the **Chairman** noted that there were no further items to discuss or resolve upon, he thanked all present for attending and participating. The **Chairman** then expressed Digi's gratitude and thanks to Mr. Piotr Rymaszewski for being a member of the Board of Directors for seven (7) years and welcomed Mr. Jose Manuel Arnaiz de Castro as a new





member of the Board of Directors. He then declared the Meeting closed at two hours and —
forty-three minutes post meridian. _____

Voting results. _____

The exact results of the voting have been set out in a document after the Meeting, a copy of—
which is attached to this deed (*Annex*). _____

Final. _____

In witness of the proceedings in the meeting the original of this deed, which shall be _____
retained by Dirk-Jan Jeroen Smit, civil law notary aforementioned, was executed in _____
Amsterdam, the Netherlands, the fourth day of September two thousand and twenty-four. —
(was signed)



ISSUED FOR TRUE COPY
by me Lucien Rikkinus Lambertus
Spijkervet, deputy civil law notary,
deputising for Dirk-Jan Jeroen Smit, civil
law notary in Amsterdam, the
Netherlands, on 4 September 2024.